IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1660 OF 2005

Farooq Yusuf Shaikh ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr.Anjali Patil Contractor, for the Applicant.

Mr.A.S. Gadkari, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

29th March 2005

P.C.:

. Learned A.P.P. fairly accepts on instructions of the officer who is present in Court that the Applicant is entitled for bail on parity along with the co-accused, who have been released on bail by the Sessions Court. Accordingly, this application is allowed on the following conditions.

(A) The Applicant is directed to be released on bail in connection with CR.No. 190/2000 registered with Vikhroli Police Station on executing personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two local sureties in the like amount. The condition of local sureties cannot be dispensed with as it is pointed out by the learned A.P.P. that the Applicant has no fixed place of residence in Mumbai.

(B) In addition to offering local sureties, the Applicant shall report to the concerned police station once in a week on Saturday between 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

(C) The Applicant shall not influence the prosecution witnesses or tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner whatsoever.

(D) The Applicant shall co-operate for early disposal of the trial. If the

trial Court is of the opinion that the Applicant is in any manner responsible for delay in disposal of the trial, that opinion be recorded in the roznama, which can be a ground for cancellation of bail.

. Application disposed of on the above terms.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)